

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-7826

JOHNATHAN LEE X,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

IRENE BRATTEN; TONI V. BAIR; JOHN TAYLOR;
EDWARD MURRAY; C. D. LARSEN; DAVID A.
WILLIAMS; DAVID SMITH; JOE KILLEEN; THOMAS
BULLOCK; OSCAR GULMATICO; FRED W. GREENE;
CHARLES THOMPSON; BETTY COLEMAN; FAYE NEWTON;
CHRISTOPHER WATKINS; WAYNE SHEFFIELD; TONYA
STOVALL; JOANNE TOYSTER; O. V. JONES; S.
BATTON; MISS HATCHER; MRS. DOUGHERTY; LUCILLE
EVANS; MISS BOWER; W. J. OLIVER; R. SHAW; H.
CRENSHAW,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-
trict of Virginia, at Norfolk. John A. MacKenzie, Senior District
Judge. (CA-89-70-N)

Submitted: February 7, 1996

Decided: February 28, 1996

Before MURNAGHAN and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Johnathan Lee X, Appellant Pro Se. Robert Harkness Herring, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Alexander Leonard Taylor, Jr., OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia; Edward Meade Macon, MCGUIRE, WOODS, BATTLE & BOOTHE, L.L.P., Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. X v. Bratten, No. CA-89-70-N (E.D. Va. Oct. 13, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED